

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N		
10/797,263	03/10/2004	Robert S. Kowalski	90104.000002 5358		
23387 75	90 07/15/2005		EXAMINER		
Stephen B. Salai, Esq.			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA		
Harter, Secrest & Emery LLP 1600 Bausch & Lomb Place Rochester, NY 14604-2711			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2839		
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/797,26		KOWALSKI, ROBERT S	s. (giv)			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Chandrika	Prasad	2839				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHOTHE! - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu.	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever incation. days, a reply within the statu tory period will apply and will li, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t cation to become ABANDONEC	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status								
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 June 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-14 is/are we Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,15 and 16 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consi						
Applicati	on Papers				•			
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objecting Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to I	a) accepted or b) [ion to the drawing(s) be the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	• •			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do Some * Copies of the priority do Some * Copies of the priority do Some * Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internations See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have beer ocuments have beer f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	е			
2) Notice	t(s) Le of References Cited (PTO-892) Le of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Por No(s)/Mail Date 4/23/04.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/797,263 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-4 in the reply filed on 6/13/05 is acknowledged. The applicant indicates that claims 1-4 are being elected with transverse but has not provided any arguments to support his election. Therefore, the election of claims 1-4 is being considered as without traverse.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the female power circuit receptacle" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- ((b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Candeloro (6045374).

Application/Control Number: 10/797,263 Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

Candeloro (Figures 1-28) shows an electrical module comprising a housing defining an exterior and sealed interior, a male power input receptacle with a plurality of blades 350, 352, 354 and female power output receptacle 342 interconnected to a female power output receptacle 342. Candeloro further shows a user actuated switch (see Fig. 12). The blades have terminal endings recessed relative to the connector housing. Figure 3 shows an integral projecting flange with a fastening aperture.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Candeloro (6045374) in view of Zeder (5347095).

Candeloro shows all the features of these claims except a relay connected to the blades and a load circuit. Such a feature is common knowledge, well known and widely used in electrical connectors and circuits. Zeder shows such a relay 23. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide such a feature because this would provide a means to control as is common knowledge, well known and widely used in electrical connectors and circuits.

Art Unit: 2839

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kilpatrick et al. (5178555), Young (6540536), Byrne (5096431) and Benoit et al. (2005/0075007), Yahraus (5185499).

Contact Information

10. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (571) 272-2099.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner July 12, 2005